UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/553,951	10/21/2005	Andrea Calcagno	09952.0005	6539
FINNEGAN, HENDERSON, FARABOW, GARRETT & DUNNER LLP 901 NEW YORK AVENUE, NW WASHINGTON, DC 20001-4413			EXAMINER	
			ELPENORD, CANDAL	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2416	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			07/23/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/553,951	CALCAGNO ET AL.	
Examiner	A 1 1 ! 4	
Examine	Art Unit	

	ON THE EET ENONE	2410
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the d	correspondence address
THE REPLY FILED <u>01 July 2009</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPI	LICATION IN CONDITION FOR AL	LOWANCE.
1.  The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appelor Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:	replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi eal (with appeal fee) in compliance	t, or other evidence, which places the with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing	date of the final rejection.	
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	g date of the final rejection.
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07( Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exi under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the s set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply origi than three months after the mailing dat	of the fee. The appropriate extension fee nally set in the final Office action; or (2) as
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp	liance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be	filed within two months of the date of
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed w AMENDMENTS	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a
3. ☐ The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, the context of the proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, the context of the proposed amendment(s) after the proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, the context of the proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, the context of the proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, the context of the proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, the context of the proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, the context of the proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, the context of the proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, the context of the proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, the context of the proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, the context of the proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection of the proposed amendment (s) filed after a final rejection of the proposed amendment (s) filed after a fil	nsideration and/or search (see NO	
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or	ter form for appeal by materially red	
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	corresponding number of finally reje	ected ciaims.
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	21. See attached Notice of Non-Co	mpliant Amendment (PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):		
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).	·	
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided that the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		I be entered and an explanation of
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE		
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>		
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary</li> </ol>	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fails to provide a
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attached.
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu	t does NOT place the application ir	condition for allowance because:
12. Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (13. Other:	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)	
/KWANG B. YAO/	/Candal Elpenord/	
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2416	Examiner, Art Unit 2416	

## **Continuation Sheet (PTO-303)**

Application No.

The newly proposed amendment regarding independent claim 17 with respect to claimed features—such "wherein at least on base radio station providing the packet data transmission service is capable of being updated from providing a first type of radio access used in the at least one macrocell to provding the multicarrier radio access used in the at least one microcell" raises new issue that would require futher search and consideration. Additionally, the scope of the claim would be changed by the proposed amendment. The Applicant alleged that the combination Lilja '847 in view of Chen '933 fail to teach or suggest "a single -carrier base station that can be updated to provide multicarrier access, e.g., converting the single-carrier base station to a multi-carrier base station". In response, the Examiner respectfully disagrees because the claimed features "can be updated to provide multi-carrier" or "updated type of radio access occurs at the base radio station" was not recited in the rejected claim. The Applicant alleged that dependent claim 19 was not addressed in the Final Office Action. In response, the Examiner respectfully disagrees because claim 19 was indeed rejected over "Lilja '847 in view of Chen '933 and further view of Li '827, page 15 to 16 of the last Office Action. The Applicant argument is moot concering claim 19. The Examiner respectfully asserts Lilja '847 in view of Chen '933 discloes the claimed features of claim 36, see col. 9, line 55-67, col. 10, line 1-33, col. 12, line 1-20, col. 11, line 1-23. The cited pages discloses the claimed features of updating from a single carrier base station to a multi-carrier service. In view fo the above reasons, the Examiner maintains the same position as the preovious Office Action.